

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,958	09/10/2003	Jiann-Chang Lo	42P15593	5862	
8791	7590 04/25/2006		EXAM	INER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			GOLUB, MARCIA A		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		2828		
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2000	DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,958	LO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marcia A. Golub	2828
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Application is FINAL.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2 and 2	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-7,9-14,16-21 and 2  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,8,15 and 22 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according to the examine and according to the exami	is/are withdrawn from considerate election requirement.  r.  epted or b)  objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/659,958

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 8, 15 and 22 in the reply filed on 4/11/2006 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuo et al. (6,222,861) hereinafter '861.

Regarding **claim 1**, Fig 1 of '861 discloses a tunable laser [112], comprising: "an actuator (heater) to drive a tuning element (temperature, drive current) of a tunable laser;

a multiple bandwidth mode controller comprising a high bandwidth mode (coarse tuning) for seeking a new target frequency and a lower bandwidth mode (fine adjustment) for tracking the target frequency,

said controller to initially drive said actuator in said high bandwidth mode (4/13-26) and switch to said lower bandwidth mode (4/27-31) when an error signal associated with a target frequency is within a threshold range."

Regarding claim 8, '861 discloses a tunable laser as described above:

8. "wherein said controller in said high bandwidth mode comprises an open loop controller (feed-forward loop)." (2/41/46)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,958

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '861 as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Jette et al. (2004/0131102) hereinafter '102.

Regarding **claims 15 and 22**, '861 discloses a tunable laser as described above, specifically the tunable laser can be any one of DBR, DFB, GCSR or any other tunable laser (7/60-8/6). '861 does not disclose the tunable laser to be an external cavity diode laser (ECDL). However, ECDLs are well known in the art as evidenced by paragraph 0006 of '102.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of '102 into the device of '861 by substituting a DBR with an ECDL for at least the purpose of obtaining narrow line width output.

### Contact Info

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcia A. Golub whose telephone number is 571-272-8602. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marcia A. Golub Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2828 Minsun Harvey Supervisor Art Unit 2828